THE PROSODIC PROPERTIES OF THE CANTONESE SENTENCE-FINAL PARTICLES *aa1* AND *aa3* IN RHETORICAL WH-QUESTIONS
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ABSTRACT

In this production experiment we investigated the suprasegmental properties of the Cantonese sentence-final particles (SFPs) *aa1* and *aa3* in different types of questions. The context of each trial was manipulated to elicit a set of string-identical *wh*-questions with the following four readings: information-seeking questions (ISQs), positive rhetorical questions (RQ+s) ‘Who likes coffee? - John’, negative rhetorical questions (RQ-s) ‘Who likes coffee? - Nobody’, and rhetorical questions as retort (Retort) ‘Who ordered an extra large coffee? - Who likes coffee?’. Overall, the results show that the prosodic difference in SFPs helps to distinguish between these question types. We found that (i) SFPs in RQ+ and Retort contexts are characterized by rising tonal contours, while those in ISQ and RQ-contexts have more level contours, and that (ii) the duration of SFPs in RQ-contexts are significantly longer than in any other context. We also found that *aa1* and *aa3* pattern similarly.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Subtypes of rhetorical questions

Most typically, *wh*-interrogatives function as information-seeking questions (ISQs), with the speaker requesting information from the addressee [10]. Rhetorical questions (RQs) differ from ISQs in the sense that the speaker, rather than requesting information, instead strongly suggests a certain answer to the question asked. For instance, the question in (1) can be asked in an unbiased way when the speaker does not prefer any member of the set denoted by the *wh*-word *who*, or in a biased way when the speaker suggests a certain answer to *who*.

(1) Who would drink coffee?

In negative rhetorical questions (RQ-s) the answer suggested by the speaker is the empty set (i.e. ‘no one’), providing (1) with a reading equivalent to the speaker saying ‘no one would drink coffee’ [8]. However, RQs can also suggest a non-empty set as their answers if there is a particular individual salient enough in the discourse context to serve as an answer to the question [19, 3]. These we call positive rhetorical questions (RQ+s). A subtype of RQ+s is positive RQs-as-retorts (Retorts), which are RQ+s used to answer an ISQ. Stylistically, this has the effect of the speaker marking the ISQ as having the same obvious answer as the Retort [20], as illustrated in (2).


RQ+s, Retorts, and ISQs make the addressee search for a specific member within the domain of individuals, but RQ-s convey that the answer is the complement of the entire domain, which equals an empty set (being non-specific). RQ+s, Retorts and RQ-s appeal to the common ground (i.e., the shared knowledge between speakers [21, 5]), but not ISQs: the speaker of a RQ already has a certain answer in mind (and expects that the addressee has the same). ISQs do not appeal to the common ground, as the speaker does not have anyone in mind when asking a genuine question. Specificity and appeal to the common ground feature the four question types as in Figure 1:

![Figure 1: Features of question meaning.](image)

1.2. The prosody of RQs

It is commonly assumed that ISQs and RQs are prosodically distinct, but empirical studies on the
prosody of RQs are still rare and limited to RQ-s in English, Icelandic, and German [1, 23, 6, 16]. In particular, the distribution of boundary tones and nuclear pitch accent types, duration of utterances, and voice quality are found to contribute to the difference between ISQs and RQ-s, although these cues are weighed differently across the three languages. Taken together, these studies support the assumption that ISQs and RQ-s can be distinguished prosodically.

However, it is as yet unknown whether there is also prosodic difference among the subtypes of RQs (i.e., RQ- vs. RQ+ vs. Retort) and whether there exists prosodic distinction between ISQs and RQs in tone languages, such as Cantonese in our case.

1.3. Intonation in Cantonese

As a tone language, the F0 contour of Cantonese sentences necessarily involves the interaction between lexical tone and intonation. Overall, however, the intonation patterns of Cantonese are similar to those of many languages [4, 18]: statements generally have a falling F0 contour, and questions have a rising F0 contour, regardless of the canonical form of the tone [13], indicating the presence of a boundary tone.

Most studies on Cantonese question intonation focus on intonation questions (i.e., a declarative sentence with a rising contour), contrasting them with declaratives [13]. Cantonese sentence-final particles (SFPs) express similar functions as intonation in intonation languages, conveying the speaker’s attitude or emotions. Studies on the intonation of questions suffixed by SFPs, however, are relatively rare [25, 24, 26], and even in those studies, the F0 contour of SFPs themselves is seldom examined. Given that SFPs are abundant in the language and that utterances in Spoken Cantonese typically end in SFPs [12, 22], this study focuses on the acoustic properties associated with two common SFPs aa1 and aa3.

1.4. The Cantonese SFPs aa1 and aa3

The particles aa1 [aα] and aa3 [aα] are chosen because of their high frequency of use in a wide range of contexts. Both ISQs and RQs are reported to feature aa1 and aa3: aa1 is claimed to make an utterance more emotive and possibly indicates a contrast, while aa3 is described as “highlighting the relevance of the utterance” [14, 11, 22].

To analyze the prosodic properties of the SFPs aa1 and aa3 in different question types in Cantonese, we conducted a production experiment. The experiment is designed to examine how question types impact the prosodic realization of the two SFPs in wh-questions. The present study therefore contributes to this line of research in two directions by (i) examining more subtypes of RQs and (ii) extending to a tone language.

2. EXPERIMENT

2.1. Stimuli

We created 12 target wh-questions that are ambiguous between rhetorical and information-seeking readings, have the same syntactic structure and contain the same number of syllables. The wh-word in all target sentences is bin‘go3 ‘who’; half of the target sentences end with the SFP aa1, and the other half end with the SFP aa3. To counter the effect of tonal co-articulation, the lexical tone of the syllable directly preceding the SFP is also controlled for and is balanced between the two SFPs. Cantonese has six unchecked tones, thus one sixth of the target sentences has Tone 1 before the SFP, one sixth has Tone 2, and so on.

For each of these 12 target sentences, we generated four short contexts, each favoring one of the four readings respectively (See Table 1: contexts are translated from Cantonese). The context descriptions are as concise and informative as necessary. The target sentence is placed in the final position within each context. In addition, each target sentence is preceded by another short sentence that is semantically congruent with the target sentence. The purpose of these additional sentences is to strengthen the intended reading. The register of both contexts and target sentences is deliberately chosen to reflect the spoken language.

Two experiment lists were created that differ in the combination of SFPs and target sentences: target sentences ending with aa1 on the first list are replaced with aa3 on the second list, and vice versa. The items were randomized within each list, but the same random order was used for the participants assigned to the same list. Additional 48 filler context descriptions were used with assertions as target sentences. With three practice trials, the total number of items was 99, which took an hour for the participants to complete on average.

2.2. Participants

We tested 21 speakers, but discarded the data from seven speakers due to difficulty in reading spoken Cantonese, cell phone use during the experiment, persistently skipping contexts, and extremely unnatural production. The remaining 14 participants are all native speakers of Cantonese (mean age: 19.6
Table 1: The four contexts for the target sentence

Table 2: Distribution of target sentences included in the analysis.

2.4. Data analysis and results

Each target sentence was extracted from the recordings and checked manually. A target sentence was excluded from the subsequent analysis if (i) the participant skipped the context for that trial, (ii) there was disfluency in the sentence, (iii) the SFP was omitted, and (iv) the participant made mistakes (e.g., by adding extra words or ignoring some words). In addition, one experiment item had to be removed because it contained one extra syllable in the target sentence. Accordingly, 565 target sentences entered the analysis. The distribution of them among question types and SFPs is summarized in Table 2.
a by-Participant random slope for Particle, as the models with more complex random structures failed to converge. P-values were calculated using the Satterthwaite approximation of degrees of freedom.

2.4.1. F0 contour

The time-normalized F0 contours of the two SFPs across question types are depicted in Figure 2. Significant fixed effects were found for Question Type of RQ+ vs. ISQ ($\beta = 9.2 \times 10^{-4}$, $SE = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$, $p < 0.01$) and for Retort vs. ISQ ($\beta = 9.4 \times 10^{-4}$, $SE = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that the rising F0 contour in RQ+ and Retort contexts is steeper than that in ISQ contexts. However, the F0 contours between RQ- and ISQ ($\beta = 7.9 \times 10^{-5}$, $SE = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$, $p = 0.79$), and between RQ+ and Retort ($\beta = 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$, $SE = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$, $p = 0.94$) were not significantly different. The fixed effects of Particle of aa1 vs. aa3 and all the interaction terms were not significant, suggesting the SFPs behave similarly.

Figure 2: F0 contours of the SFPs across question types. The contours are smoothed with LOESS, and the ribbons display 95% confidence intervals.

2.4.2. Duration

The distribution of the durations of the two SFPs across question types is shown in Figure 3. The only significant effect was for Question Type of RQ- vs. ISQ ($\beta = 0.25$, $SE = 0.071$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that the duration of SFPs in RQ- contexts is longer than that in ISQ contexts. The durations of SFPs among ISQ, RQ+, and Retort contexts were not significantly different. All the other effects and interactions were not significant.

3. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The results from our production study show that the SFPs aa1/aa3 are realized distinctly in terms of F0 contour and duration under different question types.

RQ-s are found to have longer SFP duration than ISQs, RQ+s and Retorts. The SFPs in Retorts pattern with RQ+s, both displaying a higher rising F0 contour, compared to the RQ- and ISQ conditions.

The three-way distinction in the suprasegmental properties of these questions is expected, considering the semantic differences between them. The different patterning of RQ+s and Retorts versus ISQs and RQ-s could be attributed to differences in affective stance [17]. RQ+s and Retorts are uttered in a context where the speaker believes that there is a specific salient answer to the uttered question, one that the addressee should be aware of. These utterances come with an attitude expressing ‘obviousness’ (or ‘you should have known’). The rise in F0 of RQ+s and Retorts could thus potentially be attributed to engaging the addressee, calling upon their world knowledge.

Some of our findings tie in with what has been found for the prosody of ISQs and RQs in other languages. For instance, our finding that the SFPs in RQ-s do not show a rising F0 contour agrees with the finding that RQ-s tend to have more low boundary tones in English and German [23, 9]. Additionally, our result that the SFPs in RQ-s are longer, in comparison with those in ISQs, also echoes the finding that the sentence-final object is longer for RQ-s than for ISQs in German and Japanese [23, 15].

While the literature on the interface of meaning and intonation suggests a divide in the final contour of assertive and inquisitive speech acts [18, 7], our results show that RQ+s pose a challenge to this picture. On this view, RQ+s are expected to behave the same way as RQ-s. Our view on the different question types can account for the three-way prosodic differences.

We are currently investigating whether there is a global F0 and durational difference over the entire utterance among different question types and devising a perception experiment.
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